25X1A

. 

. 11

nicely.

we offer three different intelligence analysis courses/
and that is specifically for analysts, although there are other
training courses for analysts as well. In these courses we
try to cover the very things that I have heard you talking
to the Defense representatives here today. For example, we
cover such things as methodologies that are available to and
help clarify analysis, and in fact we have one very interesting
exercise whitehes also run on a computer, a PDP-1145, which
Approved For Release 2001/11/23: CIA-RDP81-00896R000100170002-8
is based upon Paterian analysis, which is an effort to teach

Bayesian

Director of the Office of Training at CIA, which indeed does conduct training courses for intelligence analysts, and

I WILL AS

we feel that the training conducted in those courses is

applicable for indications analysis as for other types of

intelligence analysis. And I think the statement that is

underlined on page 6 of your report points this out very

.17

Approved For Retase 2001/11/23: CIA-RDP81-00896R000100170002-8

people to change the values of their predictions based upon

descript to overland the tradicty for

receipt of successive data. This is something that analysts—

and I am an old intelligence analyst and know that you tends

then

to hang on to the hypothesis that you start out with, and

then

as you get new data, you don't change your hypothesis. Well,

this is an attempt to teach people how to do that.

Another area that we teach them in is what is called subjective probability assessment, to sharpen up their judgments. If I could explain it like this, they are asked to make judgments about -- and this is in exercises factual statements or predictions and to place a probability upon the likelihood of these things, and then having done so, to give an indication of the confidence that they have in their own judgment. And you can train people through this technique to improve their judgments and in effect upon the confidence that they have in it, and to do a little bit better job of doing that and in communicating it to the people that use the intelligence product that stems from this.

Finally, in this area, we have worked on the question of bias, addressed the question of institutional bias which is pretty easily identified, unit bias, different parts of organizations have different biases, but above all, the really difficult one is the bias that resides in each individual. It is harder to identify and it is harder to do something about because it is based upon that person's background and Approved For Release 2001/11/23: CIA-RDP81-00896R000100170002-8

2

. 3

4

5

25X1A

10

8

9

. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25X1A

, ;

25

Approved For Release 2001/11/23 : CIA-RDP81-00896R000100170002-8 experiences perhaps cognitive bias, you might say.

And finally, one of the kinds of things that we do in these programs -- we have three different courses here -- is in the area of creativity, of helping them to think up new hypotheses.to address that. In that connection we have got

of what makes people think/creatively, and they have been very cooperative with us and have given us the benefit of a lot of their research and of training programs that they have conducted.

We originally sent people down there for training, and subsequently, one of their principal training programs was transferred in-house and is conducted now at CIA six times a year with the assistance of these people.

We have three different courses for different levels of These are all intelligence analysis course, and they people. vary in length from two weeks to four weeks, and the numbers OF STUDENTY RULY FRIM vary-around 15 to 20. We have a total capacity of training (for about 300 people a year. We do not train specifically, as the little statement said, in indications and warning, and we do send course at the Defense Intelligence people over to Captair Approved For Release 2001/11/23 : CIA-RDP81-00896R000100170002-8

25X1.

. 11

i.₄ 25X1A

- 15

Approved For Release 2001/11/23: CIA-RDP81-00896R009100170002-8

Mr. Rose. Do all analysts at the CIA benefit from these 5/course?

Not all analysts have taken the courses, particularly people who have been around for a while. Some of these are fairly new programs that have not been under way for a long time. I guess we would like to think we are doing the training, that if they take them, they would certainly benefit from them.

I think we start from the point of view that we expect people to be able to analyze and to think when they are hired. That is one of the things that we don't expect to have to teach them after they get there.

Mr. Rose. How do you text them to make sure that they can do that when you hire them?

Well, there's a variety of ways. One is we do give a variety of psychological tests to them in the first place to establish their IQ. I am not an expert on this, sir, and I can't go into it in great depth. We do a lot of interviewing of them at that time by people who are experienced in this field. We would not rely on test batteries by themselves. And we obviously look at their previous experience, education, academic transcripts, and above all, contact with the people that they have worked for or in their communities, I think is of interest to us contact, basically looking at their background amprevalential server and that

**Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt** 

What are the titles of those courses?

Do you

Yes, sir.

3

Mr. Rose.

four weeks in length.

have them?

2

25X1A

25X1A

6

7 8

9

10

. 11 12

13

14

25X1A

16

15

17

13

19

20

21

22

:3

24

25

25X1A

25X1A

Yes, indeed. Seminar on Intelligence Analysis, which runs four times each year for three weeks, Introduction to Analysis for Career Trainees -- those are entry level people -- which is run -- it is two weeks and The third We have something called the it is run four times a year. Intelligence Process Course, which is conducted twice a year,

Does the Intelligence Process Course, which Mr. Rose. teaches analysts to make finished intelligence meaningful to executive-level policy makers, does it deal specifically with warning?

Yes, but only in a very short fashion. is notemuch coverage on warning in it.

The National Intelligence Daily, which serves a Mr. Rose. high-level audience, could be considered to have a warning function. Are the articles in the NID evaluated for their predictive accuracy?

I had better come up to the table.

Mr. Rose. Come on.

No, sir, they are not. We ran an experiment

in this, not with the NID because it didn't exist at the Approved For Release 2001/11/23: CIA-RDP81-00896R000100170002-8

25X1A

Bulletin, and we found that it was not a fruitful exercise, that you ran into too many the-sun-will-rise-tomorrow predictions. If the analysts knew we were grading them on this, we would run into a great many more of them, and there were any number of pitfalls in it that made the statistics that we came up with quite meaningless.

Mr. Rose. Some procedures have been developed to reduce or compensate for the effects of perceptual bias in human mental processes, as you mentioned. For example,

time, but with its predecessor, the National Intelligence

Does the CIA provide any training to its analysts which will actually help them overcome cognitive biases?

repetitive training with constant feedback allows airline

to be closer on clear days than on hazy days.

pilots to compensate for illusions such as things appearing

which are done real live, at, say, a computer terminal keyboard, which provides instant feedback. One of those exercises is this business of adjusting one's hypothesis based upon the receipt of new data. It is based on Bayes' work, or Bayesian algebra. The other is a subjective probability test where one is able to get instant feedback.

I think these are in that general ballpark. I think they are very limited and they may be somewhat primitive, but I do Approved For Referse 2001/11/23: CIA-RDP81-00896R000100170002-8 think that this is apropos as far as intelligence analysis

## Approved For Release 2001/11/23 : CIA-RDI work is concerned. Mr. Rose. All right, thank you very much. (Whereupon, at 3:14 o'clock p.m., the Subcommittee proceeded to the consideration of other matters.)